

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT JODHPUR

CIVIL WRIT No. 2724 of 2005

SUNITA DEVI
V/S
STATE & ORS.

Mr. MAHAVIR VISHNOI for Mr. RAKESH ARORA, for the
appellant / petitioner

Mr. SHYAM TOSHNIWAL for Mr. NM LODHA, AAG, for the
respondent

Date of Order : 16.5.2006

HON'BLE SHRI N P GUPTA, J.

ORDER

The matter comes as requisites have not been filed for service of respondent no.3. However, learned counsel for the respondent submits that in view of order Annexure R/1 having been passed, this petition becomes infructuous. I find from the writ petition that the prayer made in the writ petition is that the respondents be directed to give appointment to the petitioner on the post of Teacher Gr. III from the date from which less meritorious candidates have been given appointment on the said post. This writ petition was filed on 2.5.2005, and vide Annexure R/1 the petitioner has been given appointment as therein her name finds place at S.No.1. In that view of the matter, the petition does become infructuous. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not in a position to controvert the averments

about the petitioner having been given appointment vide
Annexure R/1.

In that view of the matter, the writ petition is
dismissed as infructuous.

(N P GUPTA), J.

/Sushil/